

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA**

WILL CO. LTD. a limited liability company
organized under the laws of Japan,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KAM KEUNG FUNG, aka 馮錦強, aka
FUNG KAM KEUNG, aka FUNG KAM-
KEUNG, aka KUENG FUNG, aka KEUNG
KAM FUNG, aka KAM-KEUNG FUNG, aka
KEVIN FUNG, an individual; FELLOW
SHINE GROUP LIMITED, a foreign company,
and DOES 1-20, d/b/a AVGLE.COM,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:20-cv-05666-RSL

**PARTIES' JOINT RESPONSE TO
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
March 26, 2021

COME NOW, Plaintiff Will Co. Ltd ("Will Co") and Defendants Kam Keung Fung ("Mr. Fung") and Fellow Shine Group, Ltd. ("FSG") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, file this Joint Response to Order to Show Cause.

Simultaneous with the First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Leave For Alternative Service. Dkt. No. 9. Leave was granted on November 16, 2020. Dkt No. 13. Plaintiff filed for clerk issue of summon, issued on November 18, 2020. Dkt. No. 19. Defendants were served with the summons and First Amended Complaint.

JOINT RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - 1
[NO. 3:20-cv-05666 RSL]

FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC.
1107 ½ Tacoma Avenue South
Tacoma, WA 98042
(253) 383-4500 - (253) 383-4501 (fax)

On December 9, 2020, Defense counsel Val Gurvits emailed Plaintiff counsel and informed Plaintiff that Mr. Gurvits, Mr. Fray-Witzer, and Mr. Mann would be filing notices of appearance and a motion to dismiss. Defense counsel Mann filed a notice of appearance on December 23, 2020, followed by pro hac vice applications by Mr. Gurvits and Mr. Fray-Witzer. Dkt. Nos. 20-22. As had been indicated, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction on December 24, 2020. Dkt. No. 23. The noting date for the motion to dismiss was originally January 15, 2021, but then moved to January 29, 2021 and ultimately February 5, 2021 by way of agreement of the parties and leave of Court.

Plaintiff timely filed its Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss on January 25, 2021. Dkt. No. 28. Defendants timely filed their Reply on February 5, 2021. Dkt. No. 35. The Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction remains pending.

On January 8, 2021, the Court issued an Order Regarding Initial Disclosures, Joint Status Report, and Early Discovery. Dkt. No. 26. Therein, the Court set deadline for Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) Conference for January 22, 2021, Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a) Initial Disclosures for January 29, 2021, and the filing of Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan for February 5, 2021. The parties missed these deadlines. On March 8, 2021, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause regarding these deadlines. Dkt. No. 36.

On March 12, 2021, the parties filed a Joint Motion for Relief of Deadlines and Stay of Civil Proceedings, outlining that the parties had mistakenly overlooked the deadlines while drafting and filing papers specific to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and requesting a Stay of Civil Proceedings pending decision on the Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 37. On March 15, 2021, the Court Granted the Motion for Relief of Deadlines and Stay of Civil Proceedings. Dkt. No. 38.

There is no question that the parties missed the deadlines set by the Court in the Order. Dkt. No. 26. Plaintiff was tasked with starting communications to meet the deadlines. Plaintiff's counsel failed to do so. However, such was not because of failure to pay attention to

1 the case. In fact, between the date of issuance of the Order (January 8, 2021) and the deadlines
2 set in the Order, Plaintiff's counsel was diligently responding to Defendant's Motion to
3 Dismiss, which was a legally and factually complex motion. The same can be said for
4 Defendants' counsel, who also missed the deadlines. They were diligently working on their
5 Reply papers, which were due as the deadlines were expiring. During this time, the parties
6 were in contact with each other.

7 Based upon the reasons for the missed deadline and that the Court has granted a Relief
8 of Deadlines and Stay of Proceedings, the parties request the Court to not impose any sanctions
9 for the missed deadlines.

10 DATED this 25th day of March 2021.

11 FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC.

12 s/ Spencer D. Freeman

13 Spencer D. Freeman, WSBA # 25069
14 FREEMAN LAW FIRM, INC.
15 1107 ½ Tacoma Avenue South
16 Tacoma, Washington 98402
17 sfreeman@freemanlawfirm.org
18 sierra@freemanlawfirm.org

19 Attorney for Plaintiff Will Co

20 /s/Philip Mann

21 Philip P. Mann, Esq. (WSBA No. 28860)
22 Mann Law Group PLLC
23 403 Madison Ave. N. Ste.240
24 Bainbridge Island, Washington 98104
25 Tel: 206-463-0900
26 Email: phil@mannlawgroup.com

/s/ Valentin Gurvits

Valentin D. Gurvits (*pro hac vice pending*)
Frank Scardino (*pro hac vice pending*)
BOSTON LAW GROUP, PC
825 Beacon Street, Suite 20
Newton Centre, Massachusetts 02459
Telephone: 617-928-1804

Facsimile: 617-928-1802
vgurvits@bostonlawgroup.com
matt@bostonlawgroup.com

/s/ Evan Fray-Witzer

Evan Fray-Witzer (*pro hac vice pending*)
CIAMPA FRAY-WITZER, LLP
20 Park Plaza, Suite 505
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
Telephone: 617-426-0000
Facsimile: 617-423-4855
Evan@CFWLegal.com

Attorneys for Defendant